GLOBAL BENEFITS VISION

Knowledge & Wisdom for Global Employee Benefits Professionals



- 14 What Should We Call Mental Distress?
 Nick Haslam, Naomi Baes
- 18 Flow: People Who Are Easily Absorbed In An Activity May Have Better Mental And Cardiovascular Health Miriam Mosing
- 22 Promising Results For A Combined COVID And Flu Vaccine Jaya Dantas
- 26 How Expectations Influence
 Workplace Well-Being Interventions
 Mehak Bharti, Ellen Choi, Nadège Levallet





Knowledge & Wisdom for Global Employee Benefits Professionals

NOTICES

Global Benefits Vision is proudly produced in the heart of Europe with contributions from all over the world, particularly from the United States, France, Belgium, Germany, and the U.K.

Global Benefits Vision is published by Global Benefits Knowledge SA, 100 rue de Cessange, L 1321 Luxembourg. Luxembourg. ISSN 2418-4349. VAT LU 28396959. Corporate registration number RC B200289. Global Benefits Knowledge SA is wholly owned by GBV management members. Legal deposit with Bibliothèque Nationale du Luxembourg www.bnl.lu. The publisher of record is Eric Müller-Borle.

All material published in Global Benefits Vision is copyrighted and all rights are reserved. Recording the magazine in its entirety or partially is only permitted when performed by the subscriber himself/herself and for archival purposes only. Specifically, posting of the PDF file or an extract thereof under whichever format, on an intranet, an extranet, the Internet, any social media, or a shared storage is prohibited. Partial or full printing of one copy for ease of reading is permitted provided no further reproduction is made. Reproduction by any means is prohibited unless specifically authorized by Global Benefits Knowledge SA and subject to the terms and conditions as detailed in said authorization. Short citations are permitted subject to Global Benefits Vision and the author(s) being mentioned as the source.

Unless expressly specified otherwise, contributors to Global Benefits Vision write in a personal capacity and their views should not be construed as reflecting those of their employer or of their clients as may be the case.

TEAM

 $\textit{Eric M\"{u}ller-Borle,} \text{ co-founder, publisher}$

Frédérique Hindryckx, co-founder, sales and marketing

Yazid Hammoumraoui, co-founder, operations & support

Cheryl Rosen, senior editor

Agnès Molitor, senior designer

Julian Calne, general editor

Olivia Dunn, assistant editor

Caroline Heisbourg, iunior news editor

Marc Signorel & the team at Outer Rim, web design and operations

INDIVIDUAL SUBSCRIPTIONS

EUR 49 per year, 6 issues. Subscribe online at *global-benefits-vision.com* or by email to *sales@global-benefits-vision.com*.

${\sf Group\ Subscriptions}$

Group subscriptions are available for organizations wishing to give all their members access to Global Benefits Vision magazine for a flat yearly fee. Please inquire by email to sales@global-benefits-vision.com.

ADVERTISEMENTS

Global Benefits Vision welcomes advertisements. Please refer to the Advertisement page on the website or inquire by email to sales@global-benefits-vision.com.

GROUP SUBSCRIPTIONS + ADVERTISEMENT COMBOS Save by purchasing a combined Group Subscription + Ads package. Inquire by email to sales@global-benefits-

CONFERENCE AND TRAINING ANNOUNCEMENTS

Global Benefits Vision is happy to announce commercial Conferences and Training sessions. Inquire by email to sales@global-benefits-vision.com. Global Benefits Vision is happy to announce non-commercial Conferences and Trainings free of charge, subject to space availability.

Table of Contents



INTERVIEW WITH DR LEENA JOHNS



RD.

What Should We Call Mental Distress?

Nick Haslam, Naomi Baes

Flow: People Who Are Easily Absorbed In An Activity May Have

Better Mental And Cardiovascular Health

Miriam Mosing

22 Promising Results For A Combined COVID And Flu Vaccine

Jaya Dantas

26 How Expectations Influence Workplace Well-Being Interventions

Mehak Bharti, Ellen Choi, Nadège Levallet

13 Index of Articles



Cover : Agnès $\,M\,$

© Alina|stock.adobe.com

DR LEENA JOHNS, MD

CHIEF HEALTH & WELLNESS OFFICER *MAXIS Global Benefits Network*



Dr Leena Johns is Chief Health and Wellness Officer at MAXIS GBN and heads the MAXIS Health & Wellness team. The team is responsible for global healthcare data analytics and workplace wellness solutions, collaborating with MAXIS GBN's local insurance partners around the world and the global employee benefits leaders at multinational clients.

Through the suite of dashboard reports created by Dr Leena's team, multinationals can better understand the performance of their medical plans around the world, gathering trends and insights that can help them support their people's health and address their biggest cost drivers.

She created MAXIS Global Wellness, a curated marketplace of centralised digital global wellness solutions for multinational employers to address these cost drivers. She joined MAXIS in 2014, is based in New Jersey and is a medical doctor.



Global Benefits Vision (GBV): When coming up with the topic for the 2024 MAXIS Health & Wellness report, what made you pick the three factors of industry, culture and gender as areas to delve into?

Dr Leena Johns (LJ): Our report deliberately focuses on industry, culture and gender because of the significant impact these factors have on health outcomes and experiences, and consequently on medical claims. Despite their substantial impact, these three factors are frequently overlooked in the development of health plans and policies, resulting in ineffective mitigation against their role in health and wellness.

Let's take a quick look at these factors individually...

Industry. The impact of industry, and different types of roles within industries, on disease prevalence is frequently disregarded when formulating wellness plans and employee benefits programmes. Each industry will face a different set of conditions or factors which impact health outcomes for employees, and the nature of different roles within an industry also has a huge impact on health. For example, are employees sat at a desk all day long, working a more sedentary job? Or are they out and about doing a more manually taxing job?

While traditionally a one-size-fits-all approach to employee benefits has been adopted, it's clear that this contradicts the unique health and wellness risks faced by employees in different industries. Our data highlights an increased risk of developing certain diseases among employees in specific sectors, yet policy design often fails to adequately address these disparities. This discrepancy is why industry was one of the factors I chose to explore as part of our report.

Culture. Culture, with its accompanying geographic and genetic implications, is inextricably linked to health and wellness. Cultural practices can influence beliefs, behaviours and access to healthcare services, significantly impacting health outcomes. Communication styles also differ across cultures which can influence the doctor-patient relationship: some cultures may value a more collaborative approach to decision-making while others may defer to authority figures, highlighting the importance of considering cultural factors within healthcare.

As well as this, certain ethnic groups are at a higher risk of developing specific diseases as they often share versions of genes, making them more susceptible to genetic conditions. Understanding all of this is crucial to delivering effective care tailored to the specific needs of diverse populations.

Dr Leena - interview with GBV on the 2024 H&W report

MENA Middle East and North Africa

Gender. The final area we looked at that is often dismissed when designing preventative screening tests is the role of gender in influencing disease. Men and women are affected differently by disease. Our data shows that women are disproportionately affected by adverse reactions to medication and often face delayed diagnoses compared to men, even in cases where a timely diagnosis is critical to survival and a positive health outcome. Women are also disproportionately affected by inflammatory and certain autoimmune conditions. On the other hand, men may not be offered diagnostic tests for conditions like osteoporosis which are considered to primarily affect post-menopausal women, but can still impact men in older age.

By delving into these three interconnected factors in more depth, our report aims to shed light on how they impact health outcomes and drive medical claims, ultimately advocating for a more holistic and inclusive approach to employee benefits.

GBV: What would you say is the overarching key takeaway from the report?

LJ: Historically, attributes like the colour of our skin, where we are from, our age, ethnicity and religion, and even our jobs, have been considered as significant dividers of people. In response to this, and in an effort to provide healthcare that is free from discrimination, employers have adopted a one-size-fits-all approach to employee benefits.

However, this is where numerous healthcare programmes and wellness plans fall short: with people falling through the cracks. They fail to recognise the impact of these factors on health and wellness and in doing so, don't adequately address their role in shaping health outcomes

for employees. As a result, opportunities for early detection and targeted screening are frequently missed, and programmes are often not tailored to the cultural or gender-related influences on health.

Let me provide an example. Our data shows that the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region has a higher rate of endocrine, nutritional and metabolic disease costs than any other region - nearly double the next closest. In addition to a genetic predisposition in its population, studies suggest that obesity, physical inactivity, urbanisation and poor nutritional habits have contributed to the high prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes in the region. In this situation, both genetic makeup and culture have a huge impact on health outcomes, and an effective health and wellness programme would need to target these diseases directly in order to adequately care for people in the region.

Likewise, our data reveals that in Asia and the **MENA** region, mental health benefits are not as comprehensive as those in European markets, and even when these benefits are accessible, they are often underutilised. This could be because of societal attitudes towards mental health which can act as a barrier to seeking mental health services, even when they are part an EB programme.

For me, the key takeaway is that employers must move away from this one-size-fits-all approach if they want to adequately address the health and wellness needs of their people, and should instead implement tailored EB programmes that align with the specific demographics of their workforce. Industry, culture and gender all play a huge and interconnected role, not only in shaping health outcomes but also in perceptions of health and illness. By customising benefits



By customising benefits offerings, employers can truly care for their people while also achieving the return on investment and value on investment they desire

offerings accordingly, employers can truly care for their people while also achieving the return on investment and value on investment they desire.

GBV: The report outlines that per-member MSK costs rose by over a third between 2019 and 2022. What are the treatments behind MSK costs? Did the nature of the treatments change between 2019 and 2022?

LJ: Our data shows musculoskeletal (MSK) is the top cost driver globally, and there are many factors contributing to this escalation beyond the costs associated with advancements in technology or treatments for the condition. The shift to remote work post-COVID-19 has introduced new complexities and considerations for employees' MSK health, further amplifying the existing list of known causes such as repetitive tasks, using excessive force during work activities, exposure to vibrations, limited control over job tasks, psychosocial factors and other workplace environment issues.

Compounding this is the all-too-common story of inappropriate treatment, leading to unnecessary imaging and costly procedures that may not always be effective. Our data analysis indicates a significant increase in payments for diagnostic technologies like MRIs by 83% and CT scans by 162% between 2018 and 2022. Among the top five problematic areas, back issues are the most expensive,

with a 109% increase in back claims, closely followed by a 103% rise in knee pain claims. We have also seen a 122% increase in emergency health facility visits for **MSK** conditions during this period.

And multinationals should expect MSK to remain their top cost driver if the overprescription of expensive diagnostic imaging, such as MRIs, continues, against best practice guidelines. In fact, MRIs performed very early in treatment may actually cause worse outcomes for patients as they can lead to more invasive and expensive procedures like surgery. 2,3 We also run the risk of overdiagnosis. For instance, imaging can result in incidental findings of issues that are commonly present for that person's age group, such as intervertebral disc degeneration. In these cases, the finding is assumed to be the cause of pain, and the patient is treated for the diagnosis which can cause more harm than good, particularly if it drives follow-on effects such as opioid prescriptions, spinal injections and surgery.

Our data also reveals that although medical innovation has meant that many inpatient treatments such as ligament repair and partial knee replacement have been moved to outpatient procedures, an option that is less invasive and requires less anaesthesia, we are yet to see this trend significantly shift globally.

MSK musculoskeletal GBV: Only a tiny proportion of paid claims were for mental healthcare. Could it be that some mental health conditions are re-labelled as other conditions such as MSK, as they are notoriously hard to diagnose?

LJ: You bring up an insightful and significant observation. Although the reclassification of mental health conditions into different disease categories could occur, the motivation behind this might not solely stem from the challenges associated with diagnosis. Physicians might opt to re-label them with a different code that could be more favourable for payors in order to ensure coverage. This is largely because mental health coverage is still not as comprehensive in many markets when compared to its physical health counterpart.

Additionally, limited mental health coverage in some markets could lead employees to seek treatment for their physical symptoms, rather than addressing the root cause, resulting in costly diagnostic tests and investigations. During the pandemic years of 2020–22, we saw claims for cardiac symptoms (where individuals present with vague cardiac conditions such as palpitations) see a 58% jump in incidence and 78% increase in costs when compared with pre-pandemic data which could be indicative of underlying stress and anxiety issues presenting as physical health claims.

Although not every cardiac claim can be attributed to stress or a manifestation of a mental health condition, this notable rise in claims related to 'cardiac signs and symptoms' during the pandemic years is noteworthy, particularly in markets where mental health coverage was limited. And we see a similar story with claims for migraines too... this might initiate a cascade of costly diagnostic procedures and investigations, resulting in significant expenses for employers.

GBV: Your data analysis revealed intriguing differences in how diseases impact healthcare costs between men and women. What strategies would you propose for employers to address this disparity?

LJ: When it comes to gender, it really does feel like men are from Mars and women are from Venus! In the medical field, the benchmark remains the male body and there is a failure to recognise women's physiological differences. Therefore, understanding the influence of gender is critical to understanding health. Gender-specific diseases exist, and women and men experience many diseases and disorders differently. This trend extends beyond ones that are commonly mentioned like heart attacks: women tend to receive diagnoses later than men across 770 different diseases, with an average delay of about four years. In cases of metabolic diseases like diabetes, women are typically diagnosed approximately 4.5 years later, while for cancer, the average delay is 2.5 years compared to men.4

Moreover, there is a disparity in treatment approaches for similar conditions between genders. Despite being more prone to chronic pain, women are less likely to receive adequate treatment. Within MAXIS data, healthcare costs for women surpass those for men, with over a 7% higher cost per female claimant. This discrepancy in treatment costs underscores various challenges faced by women, from experiencing more adverse drug reactions due to drugs predominantly being tested on men, to being diagnosed later, leading to more healthcare complications until eventual diagnosis.

One of the key recommendations for employers is to recognise that gender-specific differences in diseases and health exist. Under-standing this is critical to meeting employees' needs, and employers need to make sure their people have access to gender and age-ap-

propriate screening tools and prevention programmes. Employers can also play a significant role in supporting women's self-advocacy through wellness initiatives and education by providing educational resources, workshops and wellness initiatives specifically tailored to women's health concerns. These initiatives can cover topics such as reproductive health, menopause, breast health and gender-specific risk factors for various diseases. By increasing awareness and understanding of these issues, women are better equipped to advocate for their own healthcare needs.

GBV: Prevention is key. Can you provide any reallife examples of health and wellness programmes being implemented as a method of prevention?

LJ: Prevention and screening, combined with wellness initiatives, remain vital tools in the armoury of employers in supporting employee health and productivity. In recent times, there has been a tendency for employers to consider wellness programmes while overlooking the crucial impact of prevention and screening on reducing morbidity costs and mortality rates. It's important to recognise that solely focusing on wellness initiatives is insufficient: enhancing the uptake of screening and preventive tests is equally vital. Both elements must be integrated seamlessly into any credible employer-driven health programme.

At MAXIS we equip multinational clients with tools and resources that empower them to assess the potential impact of enhanced screening strategies on their workforce. This includes estimating the lifetime number of preventable deaths and forecasting reductions in morbidity costs. MAXIS also provides multinationals with globally accessible wellness programmes, which include resources such as comprehensive toolkits for office-based wellness campaigns,

and our wellness technology marketplace, which gives multinational clients access to wellness solutions offered through partnerships with third-party suppliers. This wellness technology marketplace has been meticulously curated and currently comprises vendors chosen to address the challenges and cost drivers commonly faced by our multinational clients' workforces, including critical disease, women's and family health, musculoskeletal and EAP.

Additionally, we have created two proprietary tools: a Wellness Intelligence Tool and an ROI calculator. The ROI calculator assesses the current per-member, per-year expenditure on a disease or condition and forecasts the savings to be made following the implementation of a wellness initiative addressing it. It factors in the required investment for the wellness programme, as well as the participation and success rates of vendor-driven programmes.

There are numerous success stories to share, including our work with a food and beverage multinational for their employees in Latin America and Asia Pacific. We helped



We equip multinational clients with tools and resources that empower them to assess the potential impact of enhanced screening strategies

Dr Leena - interview with GBV on the 2024 H&W report

PMPY

Per Member Per Year

them to provide mental health initiatives after identifying gaps in their benefits structure and analysing claims data, revealing that 80% of their expenditures on chronic conditions was attributed to diabetes and back pain – conditions intricately linked to lifestyle and medication adherence, often impacting mental health negatively.

Acknowledging the unmet needs of their employees stemming from inadequate mental health coverage, we introduced a mental health programme in collaboration with one of our partners. This initiative led to 74% of callers seeking assistance for various mental health conditions associated with managing a chronic health condition. Research indicates that there's a strong link between patients with chronic diseases also experiencing depression and related mental health challenges⁵, and by implementing this initiative, we have been able to help provide support that addresses this directly.

Besides this, we have helped clients to address calls from employees on how to deal with bereavement, family/childcare, HR concerns, relationship strains, financial management and

personal development – areas where timely support would otherwise have been challenging to obtain.

In another instance, a pharmaceutical client stood out among its peers due to significantly higher rates of poor metabolic health conditions among its employees, which was revealed by our benchmark data. To address this, we recommended targeted intervention campaigns focusing on segments identified as high risk, or showing a rising risk, using our risk stratification analytics based on their claims data. This approach allowed us to pinpoint the at-risk population and tailor interventions accordingly. At the same time, we promoted healthy lifestyle behaviours among the low-risk group, emphasising weight loss and increased physical activity. As a result, we witnessed a reduction in claims related to metabolic disorders, with both frequency, incidence, and per member per year (PMPY) costs decreasing from US\$672-\$602.

These examples not only demonstrate the importance of prevention for employee health and wellbeing, but also the benefits for multinationals of taking a proactive, holistic approach to healthcare, and addressing the needs of their people head on. ∞

REFERENCES

- **1** El-Kebbi, I.M. and Bidikian, N.H., et al. (September 15, 2021) Epidemiology of type 2 diabetes in the Middle East and North Africa: Challenges and call for action PMC (nih.gov), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8472500/
- **2** Rajasekaran S. and Pushpa, B.T., et al. (March 21, 2021) The catastrophization effects of an MRI report on the patient and surgeon and the benefits of clinical reporting: results from an RCT and blinded trials. European Spine Journal. 30, https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00586-021-06809-0
- 3 Traeger, A.C. and Reed, B.J., et al. (February 10, 2018) Clinician, patient and general public beliefs about diagnostic imaging for low back pain: protocol for a qualitative evidence synthesis. British Medical Journal Open. 8(2), https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/196606053.pdf
- 4 Anon, Science Daily (2019) Across diseases, women are diagnosed later than men, https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2019/03/190311103059.htm
- **5** Ma, Y. and Xiang, Q., et al. (September 6, 2021) Relationship between chronic diseases and depression: the mediating effect of pain, PMC (nih.gov), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8419946/#CR8



Index of Articles

	Article Title	Author	Tags
APRIL 2023	Voluntary Benefits – Multinational Clients Require Thoughtful Solutions	rae Egleston	Employee Benefits
	R&D: Long COVID puts some people at higher risk of heart disease	John Donne Potter	Health, Prevention
	R&D: Mortality from COVID is lower than its impact on morbidity	Philip CLARKE Jack POLLARD Mara VIOLATO	HEALTH, PREVENTION
	R&D: Eating disorders among teens have more than doubled during the pandemic	Sydney HARTMAN-MUNICK	Health, Prevention
	R&D: Another COVID winter is coming	Catherine BENNETT	Health, Prevention
	R&D: Tracking health care's global environmental impact	Jono Drew	Health, Prevention
SUMMER 2023	Ludovic Bayard Interview – One Year CEO at GEB	GBV	Interview
The second secon	How Hot Is Too Hot For The Human Body?	W. Larry KENNEY Daniel VECELLIO Rachel COTTLE S. Tony WOLF	Health, Prevention
	BMI No Longer Key Measure of Obesity	Scott Hogan	HEALTH, PREVENTION
	Which Body Organs Are Most At Risk During A Heat Wave?	Pieter Vancamp	Health, Prevention
JANUARY 2024	Arnau Vila Llavina Interview, Zurich Global Employee Benefits Solutions	GBV	Interview
Constitution was a second or second	Immune Health Is All About Balance	Aimee Pugh Bernard	Health, Prevention
	Physical Decline With Age Is Not Inevitable	Zachary GILLEN	HEALTH, PREVENTION
	COVID-19 Variant Eris Declared 'Of Interest'. Should We Worry?	Catherine BENNETT Stuart TURVILLE	Health, Prevention
	Long COVID: There Might Also Be A Long Cold	Giulia Vivald i	HEALTH, PREVENTION
	Tracking Daily Step Counts Can Be A Useful Tool For Weight Management	Bob Buresh	Health, Prevention
May June 2024	Interview Morten Unneberg, Insurope CEO	GBV	Interview
	Food And Your Gut Microbiome Are Your Built-In Weight Loss System	Christopher Damman	Health, Prevention
	Alcohol Use Disorder Can Be Treated	Joseph P. Schacht	HEALTH, PREVENTION
	Does Your Service Business Need Al?	David COHEN Christopher MEYER Sudhir NAIR	HEALTH, PREVENTION
	Mac At 40: User Experience Was The Innovation	Jacob O. Wobbrock	SCIENCE, TECH



ARE YOUR
WELLNESS
PLANS
DELIVERING?



CAN YOU MAKE THEM MORE SUCCESSFUL?

Have you considered how industry, culture and gender could be impacting your peoples' health and making your wellness programmes less effective? We have.

We've analysed global, standardised and anonymised data from millions of claimants across the world, to find out how the industry someone works in, their culture and their gender can impact their health, the outcomes of wellness programmes and how employers can address these challenges.

Read our report to find out how these factors impact health and how your wellness plans can deliver the best outcomes for your people – and for your organisation.

Download the report today.

maxis-gbn.com/industry-culture-gender

MetLife and AXA in partnership

MAXIS GBN S.A.S, a Private Limited Company with a share capital of €4,650,000, registered with ORIAS under number 16000513, and with its registered office at 313, Terrasses de l'Arche – 97727 Nanterre Cedex, France, is an insurance and reinsurance intermediary that promotes the MAXIS Global Benefits Network. MAXIS GBN S.A.S is jointly owned by affiliates of AXA France ite and Metropolitan Life Insurance Company and does not issue policies or provide insurance. MAXIS GNS A.S operates in the UK through UK establishment with its registered address at 1st Floor, The Monument Building, 11 Monument Street, London EC3R 8AF, Establishment Number BR018216 and in other European countries on a services basis. MAXIS GBN S.A.S operates in the U.S. through MAXIS Insurance Brokerage Services, Inc., with its registered office located in New York, USA, a New York licensed insurance broker.